## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI **JACKSON DIVISION**

**KEVIN LEIGH WILLIAMS** 

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:17CV508-LRA** 

DEPUTY SHERIFF TONY ALEXANDER, DEPUTY SHERIFF BRANDON WILLIAMS, and CORRECTIONS DEPUTY DERA MOLA

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

This matter came before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Additional Discovery [Doc. #71]. By this Motion, the Plaintiff, Kevin Williams, has requested evidence of his claim of excessive force. In response, the Defendants maintain that they have already produced much of what Williams requested, and much of what he has requested is not in their custody or control. They also argue that they cannot respond to Williams's request for a list of officers who maintain detention logs and/or copies of logs because Williams has not identified the shift during which the incident of excessive force occurred. The response is signed by counsel for the Defendants.

The Court construes Williams's Motion to have the effect of a Motion to Compel Interrogatory Responses from the Defendants. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure apply to cases brought by prisoners under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Hardwick v. Ault, 517 F.2d 295, 298 (5th Cir. 1975). FED. R. CIV. P. 33(b)(5) requires responses to interrogatories to be signed by counsel and by "[t]he person who makes the answers." The Defendants will be required, therefore, to resubmit their responses to Williams's requests in accordance with Rule 33(b)(5).

The Defendants have stated that they cannot produce the list of officers requested by Williams because Williams has not specifically identified the shift during which the excessive force incident occurred. Williams will be required to supplement his Motion with that information.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, as follows:

1. On or before June 23, 2020, Williams will file a supplement to his Motion Requesting

Additional Discovery, specifying the shift on which the alleged incident occurred.

2. Within 10 days of Williams's supplementation, the Defendants will file and serve a

supplement to their Response to Williams's Motion, which shall include a signed

statement from the person who provided the information on which the Defendants

based that Response.

3. After the receipt of both supplements, the Court will rule on the Motion Requesting

Additional Discovery.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this the 8<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020.

/s/ Linda R. Anderson
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE\